Lick Creek Watershed Restoration Plan

Stakeholder Meeting 6 October 24, 2007 East Durham Regional Branch Library

Agenda

- 3:00 Welcome and Introductions
- 3:05 Housekeeping and Announcements
- 3:15 Lick Creek Restoration Priorities (Chris Dreps)
- 4:00 Water Quality Monitoring (Dan Line)
- 4:30 Discussion
- 5:00 Adjourn
- * Decision Item



Next meeting: December 5, 3:00 – 5:00 East Durham Regional Branch Library

Decide upon initial list of management strategies Critical Lands Protection Analysis



Housekeeping



Announcements

Lick Creek Restoration Project Priorities

Priority Restoration Projects

Major Restoration Projects

• Stream repair, stormwater retrofits, buffer restoration, wetland restoration

- **Volunteer Restoration Projects**
 - Buffer replanting



Restoration Goals

GOAL 1: Develop a hypothesis about the causes of biological impairment in Lick Creek and recommend approaches to addressing impairment status.

GOAL 3: Develop strategies for reducing, and maintaining at levels meeting water quality standards, the pollutants identified in Goal 2.

Restoration project criteria

- 1. Need for project (analysis indicates degradation at subwatershed level)
- 2. Project's environmental benefits
- 3. Project's community benefits / support
- 4. Project's implementation feasibility

	Lick Creek Project Prioritization Criteria				
	Factor	Description	Scoring Criteria		Total Weight
Implementation Feasibility	Relative Construction Cost	Based on the Type of Practice	Low cost Med cost High cost	2 1 0	2
	Owner/Manager Support	Includes: Property Owner support Responsible party for long term maintenance	Highly feasible	3	3
			Moderately feasible Low feasibility	1-2 0	
		Includes:	No Constraints	3	. 3
	Physical Constraints	Conflicts with Existing Utilities Space limitations Soils Physical Access for Construction and Maintenance	Minor Constraints or Unknown	1-2	
			Major	0	
	Potential Flags*	Includes: Meets agency criteria (e.g. NC EEP) On publicly-owned land	Implementation Feasibility flagging criteria met *		None
Environmental Benefits	Water Quality Benefits	How much currently untreated impervious area is treated for WQ by this retrofit? Or, how much buffer would be added?	> 5 ac (or >2000 ft) 2-5 ac (1000-2000 ft) 0.5-2 ac (500-1000ft) 0.1-0.5 ac (1-500ft) None	5 3 2 1 0	5
	Channel Protection	Does the practice reduce erosive velocities by providing channel protection volume (CPV)? Or protect slopes from erosion?	CPV Provided Channel Armored Not Provided	2 1 0	2
	Natural Areas Impacts	What is the impact to existing wetlands and forests?	Net gain No net loss or gain Net loss	3 1 0	3
	Potential Flags*	Includes: In high-priority subwatershed?** In or upstream of headwaters (low potential for upstream impacts)?	Environmental Benefits flagging criteria met*		None
Community Benefits/Support	Aesthetic Value	Does the practice have the potential to improve aesthetics?	Yes, in public area Yes, on private land No	1 .5 0	1
	Stewardship	Does the project foster long-term public involvement (e.g. monitoring/maintenance) or educates citizens?	Long-term involvement Educational	.5	1
			No	0	
	Potential Flags*	Includes: Potential to remove harmful pathogens from surface water? Involves citizens in construction?	Community Benefits/Support flagging criteria met*		None
TOTAL					20



Major Restoration Projects

13 potential Major Restoration projects



Lick Creek Major Restoration Project Priorities: IB 350



Lick Creek Major Restoration Project Priorities: IB 120, ER 120, and ER 150



Lick Creek Major Restoration Project Priorities: IB 502, 503, 504, 506, 507, and 508



Lick Creek Major Restoration Project Priorities: R 300 and R 301





Volunteer Restoration Projects

14 potential Volunteer Restoration projects







Lick Creek Volunteer Restoration Project Priorities: ER 121 and IB 121





Lick Creek Volunteer Restoration Project Priorities: ER 170 and IB 170



Lick Creek Volunteer Restoration Project Priorities: IB 331 and 332 WAKE FOREST HWY LEGEND County Line Lick Creek Study Area CS Watershed C Subvetershed ∕∕ Smet Surface Water Parks/Open Space Water Body Mejor Stream Minor Stream Project Type Major Restoration Volunteer Restoration C MI-160 Enforcement Repair Protection Diabase Outreach and Education Triple Crown Farm WAKE Raleigh OT-134OT-135 OT-13 500 Feet Upper Neuse River Basin Association Triangle J Council of Governments Geographic Information Systems 9/5/07

Conclusions

Overall benefits of the projects:

 Lick Creek WTM predicts less than 4% overall reductions in either total nitrogen, total phosphorous, total suspended solids

• Most reductions from buffer projects

Conclusions

- Projects would be beneficial for at least two reasons:
 - 1. Positive local effects on water quality
 - 2. Opportunity for community education and awareness-raising through volunteer projects

Next Steps

Determine level of interest by potential funders...

- NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program and Durham Soil and Water Conservation District (major projects)
- Home Depot Foundation (volunteer projects)
- Durham Stormwater Services? (stormwater retrofit projects)



Lick Creek Water Quality Monitoring Findings Dan Line, NCSU Water Quality Group



Restoration Goals

- **GOAL 1:** Develop a hypothesis about the causes of biological impairment in Lick Creek and recommend approaches to addressing impairment status.
- **GOAL 2:** Identify pollutants and their sources that may be impairing aquatic habitat and water quality in Lick Creek.



Discussion





• Begin working with partners to implement potential restoration projects.

Draft the list of potential management strategies.

 Begin critical lands analysis with technical committee.



Adjourn